Registered No. M.—1175

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 63.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 47.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1928.

PART IV.

NOTIFICATIONS BY THE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

CIVIL SIDE.

Addendum dated the 16th November 1928.

Under section 20, clause (c) of the Chief Court Regulation, and with the previous sanction of Government, the Chief Court has made the following amendments to Rule of Practice No. 158, dated the 18th November 1920, issued for regulating the practice of Civil Courts in the matter of receipt and payment of money into Courts.

(*i*) Insert the following between the words "copyists' fees" and "miscellaneous" in Rule 1 (*b*):—

"amounts withdrawn from the Savings Bank accounts held by the Judge in his official capacity for payment to the guardians of minors or to any other person on their behalf, and"

(*ii*) For the first sentence in Rule 7 substitute the following:—

"money tendered by parties on account of expenses of witnesses, diet money to civil prisoners, commissioners', arbitrators', translators, interpretors' or copyists' fees, miscellaneous items such as postage charges, etc., and amounts withdrawn from the Savings Bank accounts held by the Judge in his official capacity for payment to the guardians of minors or to any other person on their behalf, shall be received in cash by the Court Nazir and credited in the Cash Book."

(*iii*) Insert the following after the first para under the heading "The Cash Book" in Rule 13:—

Note.—(1) Amounts withdrawn from the Savings Bank accounts held by the Judge in his official capacity, shall be entered on the receipt side of the Cash Book under the initials of the Judge at the same time as the withdrawal form is signed by him."

Note.—(2) In cases where the amounts withdrawn from the Savings Bank accounts are not received from the Treasury on the same day on which the withdrawal form is issued, the closing cash balance of the day should be noted in the Cash Book to consist of the amount of the withdrawal form and the actual cash on hand."

Notification dated the 17th November 1928.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 122 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the following draft amendments to Orders XX & XLI of the first schedule of the said Code proposed to be made are published for the information of all persons interested, and it is hereby notified that the draft amendments as well as any objections or suggestions which may be recevied in respect thereof, from any person interested will be taken into consideration by the Chief Court on or after the 22nd December 1928.

Draft amendments:—

1. In Order XX of Schedule I to Civil Procedure Code, renumber the present rule I as Sub-Rule (1) and add the following as Sub-Rule (2).

"(2) The judgment may be pronounced by dictation to a shorthand writer in open court, where the presiding Judge has been specially empowered in that behalf by the Chief Court".

2. For Rule (3) of the said Order, substitute the following rule:—

"The judgment shall bear the date on which it is pronounced and shall be signed by the Judge and, when once signed, shall not afterwards be altered or added to, save as provided by section 152 or on review, provided also that, where the presiding Judge is specially empowered by the Chief Court to pronounce his judgment by dictation to a shorthand writer in open court, the transcript of the judgment so pronounced shall, after such revision as may be deemed necessary, be signed by the Judge".

3. In Order XLI, substitute the following for Rule 31.

"(31) The judgment of the appellate court shall be in writing and shall state:—

(*a*) the point for determination,

(*b*) the decision thereon,

(*c*) the reasons for the decision, and

(*d*) where the decree appealed

from is reversed or varied, the relief to which the appellant is entitled, and shall bear the date on which it is pronounced and shall be signed by the judge or the judges concurring therein.

Provided, that, where the presiding Judge of a court other than the Chief Court is specially empowered by the Chief Court to pronounce his judgment by dictation to a shorthand writer in open court, the transcript of the judgment so pronounced shall after such revision as may be deemed necessary, be signed by the Judge.

Provided further that if judgment is given orally by the Chief Court a shorthand note thereof shall be taken by an officer of the Court and a transcript made by him shall be signed or initialled by a Judge or by the Judges concurring therein after making such corrections as may be considered necessary."

T. SINGARAVELU MUDALIAR,
Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Petition No. 30 *of* 1926-27.

Krishnappa Setty, son of Venkatesa Setty, Akkipet, Bangalore City ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Akkaraj Moolchand and others ... ... *Opponents.*

The petitioner having failed to apply for his discharge within the time prescribed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 4th day of November 1927 against the petitioner Krishnappa Setty of Akkipet, Bangalore City, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 9th day of November 1928.

Insolvency Petition No. 70 *of* 1926-27.

Narasingappa, son of Gangadharappa, Jamkhanpet, Bangalore City ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

K. Ramoosa and others ... ... *Opponents.*

The petitioner having failed to apply for his discharge within the time prescribed, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 3rd day of August 1928, against the petitioner Narasingappa of Jamkhanpet, Bangalore City, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated this 9th day of November 1928.

D. ALASINGRACHAR,
Subordinate Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

Insolvency Application No. 1 *of* 1928-29.

Munisamy, Blacksmith, Nandidroog ... . *Petitioner.*

vs.

Manmull and 5 others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition dated the 3rd July 1928, against creditors and on the application of petitioner Munisamy, and on reading the application and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent. Time for discharge two years.

Datedt this 20th day of November 1928.

S. KRISHNA MURTI,
Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT HASSAN.

"Order of Adjudication"

Insolvency Application No. 8 *of* 1927-28.

Rangegowda, son of Annegowda, resident of Agile Grama, Hassan Taluk .. .. *Petitioner (Debtor).*

vs

Doddapayannanavaru, son of Jinnannanavaru, resident of Hassan ... *Opponent (Creditor).*

Pursuant to a petition dated 3rd January 1928, against the opponent (creditor), and on the application of the petitioner (debtor), and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor (petitioner) be and the said debtor (petitioner), is hereby adjudged insolvent. The petitioner (debtor) should apply for his discharge within three months from 14th November 1928 and creditor (opponent) to prove his debt by 15th February 1928.

Dated this 20th day of November 1928.

S. VENKATA RANGA IYENGAR,
Munsiff.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated 12th October 1928.

It is notified for the information of those whom it may concern that the records of undermentioned cases on the file of the Amildar-Magistrate of Hoskote Taluk, have been marked out for destruction and that the parties interested may apply to the Court of Amildar-Magistrate of Hoskote Taluk, within three months from the date of issue of this notification for the delivery of the exhibits, etc., filed and grant of copies, after which date, the records in question will be destroyed.

List of Judicial records to be destroyed after three months from the date of publication in the *Mysore Gazette* :—

Year	Nature of records	Period of preservation	Case numbers	Total records
1903-04	Non-bailable Magistrates' cases resulting in conviction of the Accused.	20 Years	3, 7, 10, 11, 28, 30, 33, 42, 49, 63.	10
1904-05	Do do	Do	9, 27	2
1905 06	Do do	Do	13, 30, 38, 40. 51, 89, 80, 107.	8
1906-07	Do do	Do	3, 7, 9, 25, 52, 57	6
1907-08	Do do	Do	2, 15, 17, 36, 38, 44	6
1912-13	Miscellaneous cases (cases struck of the file afer receipt of 'B' or 'C' reports.	3 Years	135, 141	2
1913-14	Do do	Do	5, 6 10, 13, 14, 23, 25, 17, 36, 37.	10
1914-15	Do do	Do	2	1
1915-16	Do do	Do	1, 2 5, 6, 9	5
1916 17	Do do	Do	3, 5, 8, 17	4
1921-22	Breach of Contract Act cases (Miscellaneous).	Do	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	6
1923-24	Do do	Do	1, 2	2
19 4-25	Do do	Do	1. 2, 3, 4	4
	Total	..	Sixty-six only	16